EFFICACY AGAINST BROOMRAPE AND SELECTIVITY OF IMAZAMOX-CONTAINING HERBICIDES IN SUNFLOWER

Anyo Mitkov¹, Mariyan Yanev¹, Nesho Neshev^{1*}, Tonyo Tonev¹, Maria Joita-Păcureanu², Florina Cojocaru³

¹Department of Agriculture and Herbology, Agricultural University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria
²National Agricultural Research and Development Institute Fundulea, 915200 Fundulea, Călărași County, Romania
³University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest

*Corresponding author. E-mail: n_neshev85@abv.bg

ABSTRACT

During the period of 2016-2017 a field trial for evaluating the efficacy of imazamox-containing herbicide products for control of sunflower broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) was conducted. The experiment was carried out in the experimental base of the department of "Agriculture and Herbology" of the Agricultural University of Plovdiv, Bulgaria. The selectivity of the herbicides to the sunflower plants was also evaluated. The grown sunflower hybrid was "Lucia CLP". Variants of the trial were: 1. Untreated control; 2. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha¹; 3. Pulsar® 40 - 2400 ml ha¹; 4. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha¹; 5. Pulsar® 40 - 2400 ml ha¹; 6. Pulsar® Plus - 2000 ml ha¹; 7. Pulsar® Plus - 4000 ml ha¹; 8. Pulsar® Plus - 2000 ml ha¹; 9. Pulsar® Plus - 4000 ml ha¹. At variants 2, 3, 6 and 7 the herbicides were applied in phenophase 4th - 6th true leaf of the sunflower (BBCH 14-16), and at variants 4, 5, 8 and 9 - in phenophase 8th - 10th true leaf (BBCH 18-19). The highest efficacy against the broomrape was reported for the treatments of variants 4 and 9. For the control the highest broomrape density was reported - 13.65 specimens per 1 sunflower plant average for the period. It was observed that Pulsar® Plus was more selective to the sunflower hybrid in the study in comparison to Pulsar® 40. After the treatment with Pulsar® Plus at rate of 2000 ml ha¹ in phenophase 8th - 10th true leaf the highest sunflower seed yield was recorded. It was proven that the seed yield from the plants treated with doubled herbicide rates was lower from the plants treated with the registered rates. The untreated control had the lowest yield. A similar tendency for the indicators 1000 seeds weight and hectolitre seed mass was observed.

Keywords: broomrape, sunflower, imazamox, efficacy, selectivity.

INTRODUCTION

The most widespread weeds in the ■ Bulgarian sunflower fields are the weed species Amaranthus retroflehus L., Sinapis arvensis L., Chenopodium album Xanthium strumarium L., Setaria spp., Echinochloa crus-galli L., Sorghum halepense L., Cirsium arvense L.. Convolvulus arvensis L. and the root holoparasite Orobanche cumana (Neshev et al., 2017). O. cumana L. is distributed all over Bulgaria (Stoyanov et al, 1967). The broomrape is one of the main factors limiting the sunflower production (Tsvetkova et al., 1998). This parasitic plant is naturally distributed from central Asia to south-eastern Europe, where it parasitizes wild Asteraceae species. It is also an important parasitic weed of sunflower crops

(Stoyanov et al, 1967; Pineda-Martos et al., 2014). The control of the sunflower broomrape is mainly driven by the creation of sustainable and tolerant varieties, as well as the cultivation of resistant to the herbicide imazamox varieties. It is considered that the integration of different methods and tools implies best parasitic control options (Tonev et al., 2018). Shindrova (2006) reported that the highest sunflower broomrape infestation was in south-eastern Bulgaria. In the different areas there is up to 80% infestation and a parasite density of 50-60 specimens per sunflower plant. In the south-eastern and north-eastern regions of the country, the degree of infestation is up to 50% and the parasite density from 15 to 20 specimens per plant. The measurements for decreasing the degree of broomrape infestation include preventive measures, improvement of the

ROMANIAN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

phytosanitary conditions of the crops and control (Kleifeld, 1998). As a result of broomrape resistant-sunflower growing varieties, "the old" parasite races disappear and in their place" new" more virulent ones appear (Alonso, 1996; Păcureanu-Joita et al., 1998). In a study conducted by Domínguez et al. (2014) an excellent broomrape control after the treatment of the plants imazamox was recorded. Making hybrids resistant to imazamox and tribenuron-methyl enabled an efficient control of the main broadleaf and grassy weeds, including parasitic species from genus Orobanche (Malidza et al., 2003; Fernandez-Martinez et al., 2009).

The aim of the study was to establish the efficacy against the broomrape and the selectivity of imazamox-containing herbicides in Clearfield[®] Plus sunflower hybrid.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was situated in the experimental field of the base for training and implementation of the Agricultural University of Ploydiv, Bulgaria. The trial was conducted by the randomised block design in 4 replications. The size of the experimental plot was 28 m². The grown sunflower hybrid was "Lucia CLP" which is susceptible to parasitation of Orobanche cumana. Variants of the trial were: 1. Untreated control; 2. Pulsar® 40 -1200 ml ha⁻¹; 3. Pulsar[®] 40 - 2400 ml ha⁻¹; 4. Pulsar[®] 40 - 1200 ml ha⁻¹; 5. Pulsar[®] 40 -2400 ml ha⁻¹; 6. Pulsar[®] Plus - 2000 ml ha⁻¹; 7. Pulsar[®] Plus - 4000 ml ha⁻¹; 8. Pulsar[®] Plus - 2000 ml ha⁻¹; 9. Pulsar[®] Plus - 4000 ml ha⁻¹. Pulsar[®] 40 is containing 40.0 g/l Imazamox and Pulsar® Plus is containing 25 g/l Imazamox. At variants 2, 3, 6 and 7 the herbicides were applied in phenophase 4th – 6th true leaf of the sunflower (BBCH 14-16), and at variants 4, 5, 8 and 9 – in phenophase $8^{th} - 10^{th}$ true leaf (BBCH 18-19). The sowing was performed in the optimal time for the region. A predecessor of the sunflower was winter wheat – for both experimental years. On the trial field deep ploughing, two times disc harrowing and two times cultivation before sowing were done. For the purpose of clearing out the field from annual grass

and broadleaf weeds soil application with Stom® Aqua (455 g/l pendimethalin) at rate of 3500 ml ha-1 was performed to all experimental plots, including the control. The application was performed after sowing before germination of the crop. Basic combined fertilization with 250 kg ha⁻¹ NPK 15:15:15 and spring dressing with 200 kg ha⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ was performed. The number of the parasites per one sunflower plant was counted at the end of the vegetation. Per every repetition of each variant 2 sunflower rows were taken out from each plot, the number of sunflower plants was recorded and the number of parasites per sunflower was measured. The selectivity by the 9 score scale of EWRS was evaluated on the 7th and the 14th day after the herbicide application (at score 0 there were not damages on the crop, and at score 9 the crop was completely destroyed). The hectolitre seed mass was measured by weighing two parallel samples of 100 dm³ air dried seeds. The hectolitre mass was calculated, as the arithmetic means of the established mass of the two samples (in grams) multiplied by 100 and the result was divided by 1000 to obtain the mass in kilograms (Tonev et al., 2018). The absolute seed mass of 1000 clean, air-dried seeds, expressed in grams was also measured (Tonev et al., 2018). Statistical analysis of collected data was performed by using Duncan's multiple range test by the software SPSS 19. Statistical differences considered significant at p<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The highest number of the parasite per one sunflower plant was recorded for the control (Table 1). In the first year of the experiment, the number of *Orobanche cumana* was 9.29 specimens/sunflower plant. In the second experimental year, the parasite's number increased and reached 18.00 specimens per sunflower plant from the control. This increase is possibly due to the growing of the sunflower on the same field for a second year. Our data corresponded with results obtained by Tsyliuryk et al. (2018) who found that the parasitism naturally increased

NESHO NESHEV ET AL.: EFFICACY AGAINST BROOMRAPE AND SELECTIVITY OF IMAZAMOX-CONTAINING HERBICIDES IN SUNFLOWER

with the reduction of the time interval of sunflower return in crop rotation. Averaged for the period, the number of the parasites was 13.64 specimens per sunflower plant. The difference of the obtained results for the control was considered significant according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05) in comparison to the variants with applied herbicides (Table 1).

Table 1. Number of O. cumana per sunflower plant

Variants	2016	2017	Average
1. Untreated control	9.29 a	18.00 a	13.64 a
2. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	2.94 b	5.68 b	4.31 b
3. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	1.69 c	3.13 c	2.41 c
4. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	0.05 f	0.08 f	0.07 f
5. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	0.21 e	0.60 e	0.41 e
6. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	0.80 d	1.14 d	0.97 d
7. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	0.66 d	0.83 d	0.75 d
8. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	0.26 e	0.48 e	0.37 e
9. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	0.04 f	0.07 f	0.06 f

Figures with different letters are with significant difference according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05)

After the treatment with Pulsar® 40 at the rate of 1200 ml ha⁻¹ (variant 2) in phenophase 4th - 6th leaf of sunflower (BBCH 14-16) the lowest efficacy was reported - 4.31 parasites/sunflower plant average for the period. The efficacy of the doubled rate of 2400 ml ha⁻¹ was higher -2.41 specimens average for the period. For treatments 4 and 9 the application of Pulsar® 40 (at rate of 1200 ml ha⁻¹) and Pulsar[®] Plus (at rate of 4000 ml ha⁻¹) the number of the parasites at the end of the sunflower vegetation was 0.07 and 0.06 specimens respectively, averaged for the period of the study (Table 1). The efficacy of the treatments at the other variants was satisfactory.

The visual evaluation of the herbicide phytotoxicity is presented in Table 2.

The highest herbicide toxicity was observed for variants 3 and 5 (score 3). It was moderate phytotoxicity. determined as This was due to the treatment of the doubled rate of Pulsar® 40. The content of the active substance in the herbicide product was higher and it was more aggressive to the sunflower hybrid that had been bred to be grown by the Clearfield Plus technology. Sulfonylureas (tribenuron-methyl) and imidazolinones (imazamox) are herbicides inhibiting the ALS enzyme (Acetolactate synthase), which is involved in the biosynthesis of the vital amino acids valine, leucine and isoleucine. The visual phytotoxic symptoms at the sensitive plants or non-target crops are growth retardation, the leaves lost their turgor, the plants lose their vitality, etc. (Fedke and Duke, 2005).

Table 2. Visual phytotoxicity at the sunflower (by the visual scale of EWRS)

Variants	2016	2017	Average
1. Untreated control	-	-	-
2. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	2	2	2
3. Pulsar® 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	3	3	3
4. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	2	2	2
5. Pulsar® 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	3	3	3
6. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	1	1	1
7. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	2	2	2
8. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	1	1	1
9. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	2	2	2

Figures with different letters are with significant difference according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05)

Balabanova and Vassilev (2015) concluded that the treatment with the herbicide imazamox causes an inhibition of growth and photosynthetic performance in IMI-R Clearfield sunflower hybrids. The inhibition is less pronounced in the plants treated with the recommendable dose (120 ml/da Pulsar 40) and significantly higher in the plants treated with the exceeded imazamox dose. In our trial the phytotoxicity was lower for treatments 2 and 4 and it was determined as weak. After the treatments for variants 6 and 8 the phytotoxic symptoms were classified as very weak. This was probably due to treatment with Pulsar® Plus in the registered rates for Bulgaria. At variants 7 and 9 the

phytotoxicity was scored 2.

The absolute seed mass of 1000 seeds is a very important quality indicator. The results are presented in table 3. The seeds with bigger values of the indicator have a higher price. According to many authors, this indicator is crucial for the formation of the yields (Georgiev et al., 2014). Hladni et al. (2008) found that seed yield was most positively correlated with thousand seed weight. At high levels of broomrape infestation, the mass of 1000 seeds was the lowest (Gisca et al., 2017). That statement corresponds with the results from our study. The lowest absolute seed mass was recorded for the control (61.23 g) where the infestation was the highest.

Table 3. Absolute seed mass of 1000 seeds (g)

Variants	2016	2017	Average
1. Untreated control	62.09 e	60.38 d	61.23 d
2. Pulsar [®] 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	64.19 c	63.48 b	63.84 b
3. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	62.65 de	61.26 cd	61.96 d
4. Pulsar [®] 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	67.35 a	64.98 a	66.17 a
5. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	62.46 de	61.33 cd	61.89 d
6. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	67.43 a	64.98 a	66.21 a
7. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	62.79 d	60.69 cd	61.74 d
8. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	66.46 b	65.14 a	65.80 a
9 Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	63.83 c	61.80 c	62.82 c

Figures with different letters are with significant difference according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05)

It should be noted that the values for this indicator were lower in the second year of the experiment in all variants. The highest 1000 seeds mass was reported for treatment 6 (Pulsar[®] Plus - 2000 ml ha⁻¹ in BBCH 18-19) - 66.21 g average for the period. The difference of the obtained results for treatment 6 was significant according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05) average for the period in comparison to the rest of the variants. Only the results of 4 with insignificant treatment where differences compared to treatments 6. At the variants with doubled rates of the evaluated

herbicides, a decrease of the values of the indicator was observed. This could be a result of the herbicide stress caused by the higher imazamox rate.

High hectolitre mass is preferred by the industry (Abraham Nel, 2001). The lowest hectolitre mass of the sunflower seeds was for the control – 26.19 kg, (Table 4) average for the period. Gisca et al. (2017) reported a decrease of the values of this indicator at the variants with higher *O. cumana* infestation. The magnitude of the hectolitre mass is determined by the grain size, the presence of impurities, etc. (Tonev et al., 2018).

NESHO NESHEV ET AL.: EFFICACY AGAINST BROOMRAPE AND SELECTIVITY OF IMAZAMOX-CONTAINING HERBICIDES IN SUNFLOWER

Table 4. Hectoliter seed mass (kg)

Variants	2016	2017	Average
1. Untreated control	26.33 d	26.04 e	26.19 e
2. Pulsar [®] 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	32.63 b	32.13 b	32.38 b
3. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	30.45 c	29.72 cd	30.08 c
4. Pulsar [®] 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	33.15 ab	31.95 bc	32.55 b
5. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	29.38 cd	27.08 de	28.23 d
6. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	32.24 b	31.76 bc	32.00 b
7. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	31.22 bc	30.06 c	30.64 c
8. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	34.24 a	33.20 a	33.72 a
9 Pulsar® Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	30.41 c	29.43 d	29.92 cd

Figures with different letters are with significant difference according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05)

The highest hectolitre mass of the sunflower seeds was recorded for variant 8-33.72 kg. The difference of the obtained results for treatment 8 was with significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05), on average for the period in comparison to the other treatments. Similar with the 1000 seeds mass, the values of this indicator were lower at all variants for the second year of the study.

Also for this indicator a tendency of decrease in the values after the application of double herbicide rates independently the phenophase of the sunflower was recorded.

This could also be a result of the herbicide stress.

In infested fields, *Orobanche* causes severe yield and quality losses (Duca and Glijin, 2013). Depending on the aggressiveness of the broomrape and the climatic conditions, the yield losses vary from 5 to 90 % (Gisca et al., 2017). The lowest yields were recorded for the control variant – 2.08 t ha⁻¹ on average for the period. Pulsar Plus was superior Pulsar in regard to the control of *O. cumana*, as well as in regard to the yield (Pfenning et al., 2016). In our study the same tendency was found.

Table 5. Sunflower seed yield (t ha⁻¹)

Variants	2016	2017	Average
1. Untreated control	2.23 i	1.93 i	2.08 i
2. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	3.68 e	3.45 e	3.57 e
3. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	2.45 h	2.26 h	2.36 h
4. Pulsar® 40 - 1200 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	3.73 d	3.53 d	3.63 d
5. Pulsar [®] 40 - 2400 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	2.97 g	2.69 g	2.83 g
6. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	4.35 a	4.04, a	4.20 a
7. Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 14-16)	3.85 c	3.68 c	3.77 c
8. Pulsar [®] Plus - 2000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	4.25 b	4.31 b	4.28 b
9 Pulsar [®] Plus - 4000 ml ha ⁻¹ (BBCH 18-19)	3.25 f	3.16 f	3.21 f

Figures with different letters are with significant difference according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05)

The highest sunflower seed yield was recorded after the treatment with Pulsar® Plus - 2000 ml ha⁻¹ in BBCH 18-19 (variant 8) - 4.28 t ha⁻¹ average for the period. The difference of the obtained results for the sunflower seed yield at treatment 8 were with significant differences according to Duncan's multiple range test (p<0.05) on

average for the period, in comparison to the other treatments. The variants treated with doubled imazamox rates had lower yields in comparison with those treated with registered doses. This was probably due to the obtained herbicide stress from the high rates of the herbicides. Despite this fact the yield from the stressed sunflower plants was higher than

that of the control highly infested with broomrape. This in turn shows how harmful the sunflower broomrape can be.

CONCLUSIONS

Averaged for the trial period, the highest biological efficacy against *O. cumana* was recorded after the treatment with Pulsar[®] Plus - 4000 ml ha⁻¹ at BBCH 18-19, where the infestation varied from 0.04 to 0.07 parasites per sunflower plant.

During the two years of the study the highest broomrape infestation was observed in the untreated control, where the density of the parasites were from 9.29 to 18.00 specimens per sunflower plant.

The highest herbicide toxicity was found for the treatments with Pulsar[®] 40 at rate of 2400 ml ha⁻¹ at BBCH 14-16 as well as at BBCH 18-19.

The highest sunflower seed yield was recorded after the treatment with Pulsar Plus - 2000 ml ha $^{-1}$ at BBCH 18-19 - 4.28 t ha $^{-1}$ followed by Pulsar Plus - 2000 ml ha $^{-1}$ at BBCH 14-16 - 4.20 t ha $^{-1}$.

The lowest average yield for the experimental years was recorded from the untreated control -2.08 t ha⁻¹.

It was statistically proven that the yields of the stressed from the doubled rates of Imazamox plants was higher than those of the untreated control.

REFERENCES

- Abraham Nel, A., 2001. *Determinants of Sunflower Seed Quality for Processing*. Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree PhD Plant Production: Agronomy. 122 pages.
- Alonso, C., 1996. New highly virulent sunflower broomrape (Orobanche cumana Wallr.) phenotypes in Spain. Advances in parasitic plant research. 6th International Parasitic Weed Symposium. April 16-18, Cordoba, Spain.
- Balabanova, D., Vassilev, A., 2015. Response of Sunflower Clearfield Hybrids to both Recommendable and Higher Doses of the Imazamox Herbicide. Agricultural Sciences, Volume VII, Issue 18. pp. 41-46.
- Domínguez, J., Alvarado, J., Espinosa, J.L., Falcón, M., Mateos, A. and Navarro, F., 2004. Use of sunflower cultivars with resistance to imidazolinone herbicides to control broomrape

- (Orobanche cumana) infection. Proceedings of the 16th Sunflower International Conference. Fargo, ND, USA: 181-186.
- Duca, M., Glijin, A., 2013. The Broomrape Effect on Some Physical and Mechanical Properties of Sunflower Seeds. Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza" Iași s. II-a. Biologie vegetală, 59, 2: 75-83.
- Fedke, C. and Duke, S., 2005. *Plant Toxicology*. Fourth Edition, Chapter 7 Herbicides. Book Edited by B. Hock and E. Elstner. Publisher Marcel Dekker, New York, USA: 247-330.
- Fernández-Martínez, J.M., Domínguez, J., Pérez-Vich, B., Velasco, L., 2009. *Current research strategies for sunflower broomrape control in Spain*. Helia, 32(51): 47-56.
- Georgiev, G., Encheva, V., Nenova, N., Peevska, P., Encheva, Y., Valkova, D., Georgiev, G., Penchev, E., 2014. *Characterization of the yield components of sunflower lines under the conditions of North-East Bulgaria*. Scientific Works, 3(1): 121-131.
- Gisca, I., Joiţa-Păcureanu, M., Clapco, S., Duca, M., 2017. *Influence of Broomrape on Some Productivity Indices of Sunflower*. Lucrări Ştiinţifice, seria Agronomie, 60(2): 97-102.
- Hladni, N., Jocic, S., Miklic, V., Mijic, A., Pankovic, D., 2008. *Direct and indirect effects of morphophysiological traits on seed yield of sunflower (Helianthus annuus L)*. Proceedings of the 17th International Sunflower Conference, Cordoba, Spain.
- Kleifeld, Y., 1998. *Progress in Orobanche control. Current problems of Orobanche Researches*. Proceedings of The Fourth International Workshop on Orobanche. Albena, 23-26 September, Bulgaria: 327-330.
- Malidza, G., Jocic, S., Skoric, D., 2003. Weed and broomrape (O. cernua) control in Clearfield in Sunflower. Proceeding of European Weed Research Society 7th Mediterranean Symposium. 6-9 May, Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey: 51-52.
- Neshev, N., Yanev, M., Mitkov, A., Tityanov, M., Tonev, T., 2017. *Current Technological Solutions for Weed Management at Sunflower*. Proceedings of the "International Scientific Conference of Young Scientists and Specialists, dedicated to the 100th anniversary of I. S. Shatilov", pp. 43-44.
- Păcureanu-Joiţa, M., Vrânceanu, A., Soare, G., Marinescu, A., Sandu, I., 1998. *The evaluation of the parasite-host interaction system (Helianthus annuus L.) (Orobanche cumana Wallr.) in Romania*. Proceedings of 2nd Balkan Symposium on Field Crops. 16-20 June, Novi Sad, Yugoslavia: 153-155.
- Pfenning, M., Valtin, M., Sascha, S., Bessai, J., 2016.

 Chemical Broomrape (Orobanche cumana)

 Control in Clearfield® Sunflower with Different

 Imazamox Containing Herbicide Formulations.

 Proceedings of 19th International Sunflower

 Conference 29 May 3 June, Edirne, Turkey: 846.

NESHO NESHEV ET AL.: EFFICACY AGAINST BROOMRAPE AND SELECTIVITY OF IMAZAMOX-CONTAINING HERBICIDES IN SUNFLOWER

- Pineda-Martos, R., Pujadas-Salvà, A., Fernández-Martínez, J., Stoyanov, K., Velasco, L., Pérez-Vich, B., 2014. The genetic structure of wild Orobanche cumana Wallr. (Orobanchaceae) populations in Eastern Bulgaria reflects introgressions from weedy populations. Scientific World Journal, 2014: 150432. Published online: 2014, July 20.
- Shindrova, P., 2006. Broomrape (Orobanche cumana Wallr.) in Bulgaria distribution and race composition. Helia, 29, 44: 111-120.
- Stoyanov, N., Stefanov, B., Kitanov, B., 1967. Flora of Bulgaria. Publisher "Nauka and Izkustvo": 991-995. (Book, In Bulgarian)
- Tonev, T., Tityanov, M., Mitkov, A., Yanev, M., Neshev, N., 2018. *A Guidebook for Exercises on*

- *General Agriculture and Herbology.* Publisher: "Biblioteka Zemedelsko Obrazovanie": 71-72.
- Tsvetkova, F., Nenov, N., Shindrova, P., 1998. Breeding of Fertility Restorer Lines Resistant to Broomrape (Orobanche cumana Wallr.) and Inheriting the resistance in F₁ Sunflower Hybrids. Current problems of Orobanche Researches, Proceedings of The Fourth International Workshop on Orobanche. Albena, 23-26 September, Bulgaria: 313-316.
- Tsyliuryk, A., Shevchenko, S., Ostapchuk, Ya., Shevchenko, A., Derevenets-Shevchenko, E., 2018. Control of infestation and distribution of Broomrape in sunflower crops of Ukrainian Steppe. Ukrainian Journal of Ecology, 8(1): 487-497.