GENETIC CONTROL OF THE MAIN AGRONOMICALLY USEFUL GENETIC TRAITS IN COTTON

Tudorina Nistor, Rodica Sturzu, Gheorghe Nistor, Sorina Mîrlogeanu, Jeni Anghel

ABSTRACT

High useful genetic variability is available in cotton. The paper presents data from the research performed during 2000-2001 at A.R.D.S. Teleorman, Romania on the genetic control of several agronomically important quantitative traits in cotton. Ten parental genotypes in C₇ inbreeding generation and 45 direct hybrids o btained by n(n-1) diallel crossing in F₁ generation were tested. The results led to the hypothesis that the genetic control of the main traits in the tested cotton parents is mainly:

- total dominance for the insertion height of the first sympodium, expressed in number of nodes;

- partial dominance for the distance between cotyledonal node and insertion node of the first sympodium (cm) and for the fibre length;

- overdominance for the weight of early yield from the total one, early yield, siliquae weight, fibre content and total yield.

The tested traits had large heritabilities except for the siliquae weight.

Key words: cotton, earliness, genetic control, fibre length, fibre content, raw cotton yield, siliquae weight.

INTRODUCTION

igh genetic variability is available in cotton (Miller, 1959; Marrani, 1968; Bridge, 1969; Murray and Verhalen, 1970; Baker and Verhalen, 1973). Meredith (1984) presents the relative weight of additive and dominance components as well as dominance degree found by different researchers in some quantitative genetic traits in cotton. So, White and Kohel (1964) reported complete dominance for cotton yield while Al-Rawi and Kohel (1964) found partial dominance and Verhalen (1971), overdominance for the same genetic trait. Similar results are quoted for other traits, too: fibre content, siliquae weight and fibre length. In the case of Gossypium hirsutum x Gossypium barbadense L. interspecific crossing, the study of Ana Stoilova (1978) shows that the transmission of the insertion node of the first sympodium is closely correlated with heterosis effect, more rarely with partial dominance of one of the parents.

Genetic studies performed by Simongulian (1975) showed a partial dominance of insertion, while Baker and Laval (1973) showed that the earliness has a genetic control of overdominance type.

Rîstakov and Avtonomov (1980) supposed that the genetic variability of fibre content is conditioned by gene additive and dominance effects and Baker and Verhalen (1973) reported overdominance. For the siliquae weight, Meredith (1984) reported complete dominance while Ramey and Miller (1966), Al-Rawi and Kohel (1969) partial dominance.

For the fibre length, Ramey and Miller (1966), Verhalen and Murray (1969) reported partial dominance while Baker and Laval (1974) complete one. Therefore results regarding the heredity of a given genetic trait are highly specific to the tested germplasm.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

During 2000-2001, at A.R.D.S. Teleorman, Brânceni Center, 10 cotton lines (C₇) and their direct hybrids in F₁ generation, obtained by n(n-1)/2 diallel crossing, were tested. The earliness components (insertion height of the first sympodium, early yield weight and raw cotton early yield), components regarding the productivity (fibre content, siliquae weight, total yield) and fibre length were determined.

The determinations were made on 50 plants x 3 reps and total yields were expressed in t/ha. Analysis of variation coefficients (Ceapoiu, 1968), variance analysis for experiment groups (Ceapoiu, 1968), half diallel table analysis (Walters and Morton, 1978), estimation of genetic variance components and heritability (Jinks, 1954, Hayman, 1954a; 1954b, Mather and Jinks, 1974) were performed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

^{*} Agricultural Research and Development Station Teleorman, 147135 Draganesti Vlasca, Teleorman County, Romania

The variation coefficients of parental genotypes were low for all tested genetic traits, meaning that the lines used as parents after seven years of inbreeding had an advanced degree of homozygosity (Table 1).

Table 1. Variation coefficients of parental genotypes for the tested quantitative traits, in cotton A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average

Line	Insertion height of the first sympo- dium (nodes)	Distance from coty- ledonal node to the first symp odium (cm)	% early yields (arc- $\sin \sqrt{\%}$)	Early yield (t/ha)	Siliquae weight (g)	Fibre content (%)	Total yield (t/ha)	Fibre length (mm)
T-045	1.83	2.39	1.93	1.88	2.16	1.55	1.96	0.71
T-0225	1.54	1.77	2.01	1.83	1.73	1.82	1.82	0.72
T-0320	1.31	1.70	2.01	2.13	2.33	2.03	2.03	0.72
T-0419	2.83	2.61	1.72	1.40	1.91	1.70	1.70	0.73
T-0435	2.85	3.05	1.67	1.80	1.81	2.29	2.29	0.61
C-0438	1.90	2.24	1.99	1.73	2.01	1.73	1.73	0.69
T-0535	1.91	2.18	1.82	2.20	1.93	2.48	2.48	0.73
C-0572	1.36	1.95	1.97	2.17	1.95	2.20	2.20	0.74
T-0575	1.87	2.02	1.84	1.88	1.73	2.44	2.44	0.67
C-0577	2.28	2.13	1.81	1.85	1.81	2.08	2.08	0.67

 Table 2. Mean values of the agronomically useful quantitative traits, in parental cotton genotypes
 A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average

Parental genotype	Insertion height of the first sym- podium (nodes)	Distance from coty- ledonal node to the first sy mpodium (cm)	% early yield from total one (arcsin $\sqrt{\%}$)	Early yield (t/ha)	Siliquae weight (g)	Fibre content (%)	Total yield (t/ha)	Fibre length (mm)
T-045	5.15	16.00	52.39	1.82	5.50	45.30	2.90	30.38
T-0225	6.13	21.60	50.48	1.87	6.87	41.18	3.13	29.90
T-0320	7.20	22.50	49.17	1.61	5.10	44.87	2.81	29.85
T-0419	3.33	14.60	58.86	2.45	6.23	39.93	3.35	29.37
T-0435	3.30	12.50	60.68	1.90	6.57	35.80	2.49	35.23
C-0438	4.95	17.03	50.92	1.98	5.93	43.50	3.29	30.93
T-0535	4.93	17.53	55.56	1.56	6.18	40.80	2.29	29.55
C-0572	6.90	19.55	51.36	1.58	6.10	41.85	2.59	28.95
T-0575	5.03	18.88	55.10	1.82	6.87	41.30	2.33	32.28
C-0577	4.13	14.88	55.86	1.88	6.57	37.75	2.74	31.97
Average	5.11	17.51	54.04	1.85	6.19	41.23	2.79	30.84
LSD 5%	0.42	0.86	1.39	0.47	0.47	2.30	0.66	0.64
LSD 1%	0.56	1.13	1.83	0.62	0.63	3.03	0.97	0.84
LSD 0,1%	0.71	1.44	2.34	0.77	0.80	3.87	1.24	1.08

The average values of tested parental genotypes for the tested traits showed a large enough variability (Table 2).

ANOVA for all tested traits emphasized that the differences between parental genotypes were distinctly significant when tested vs. error variance, the variability coefficient being of 5.57-17.34 % depending on the tested trait (Tables 3 and 4). The statistically significant differences between average values of the tested genetic traits for the 55 genotypes (10 parental forms and 45 F_1 direct hybrids) allowed the detailed study of variance in those genetic traits.

By the half diallel table analysis, the genetic variance for each tested genetic trait was decomposed into components for additivity (g_i) and dominance (l, l_i, l_{ij}) effects of genes involved in their heredity control.

For the earliness factors (Table 5), both additivity (g_i) and dominance (l, l, l_j) gene effects are present, being statistically significant.

Although the additivity is involved, the obtained data underline the fact that the dominance effects have a prevalent role, because the components (l, l, l_j) have a larger weight into genetic variance except for the distance (in cm) from the cotyledonal node to insertion of the first sympodium (in which the additive component has the largest weight).

Table 3. ANOVA for earliness indicators in cotton A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average

Variability cause	FD	Insertio first sym	on heigh podium	nt of the n (nodes)	Distance noc	e from co le to the f ympodium (cm)	ty e donal ïrst n	Early yie (ar	and from to resin $\sqrt{\%}$	otal one)	Raw cotton early yield (t/ha)			
		SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	
Blo cks	3	0.28			1.55			6.25			0.12			
Genotypes	54	267.90	4.96	55.11**	1426.27	26.41	69.5**	2151.94	39.85	39.46	28.85	0.53	4.68**	
Error	162	15.24	0.09		61.83	0.38		163.99	1.01		18.50	0.11		
Variability coeff. (%)		6	.50			6.49			11.83			17.34		

**significant for 1%

Table 4. ANOVA for siliquae weight, fibre content, total yield and fibre	length
A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average	

Variability	FD	Sil	liquae w (g)	eight	Fi	ibre conte (%)	nt	Т	otal yie (t/ha)	eld	Fib	re lengt (mm)	th
cause		SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F
Blocks	3	0.35			23.39			0.21			0.43		
Genotypes	54	38.22	0.71	5.95**	753.84	13.96	5.04**	49.08	0.91	4.00**	361.25	6.69	31.13**
Error	16 2	19.29	0.12		448.35	2.77		36.80	0.23		34.81	0.21	
Variability coefficient (%)			5.57			13.95			16.45			11.48	

**significant for 1%

Table 5. Analysis of	variance of half	diallel table f	for cotton	earliness	factors
A	R.D.S. Teleorma	an, 2000-2001	average		

		In	sertion	height of	the first	sympo	lium	Early yie	ld from	total one	Raw c	otton ea	rly yield
Variability cause	FD		nodes			cm		(a	rcsin √%	<u>(</u>)		(t/ha)	
		SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F
Additive contribution of i genotype (g_i)	9	64.41	7.16	79.55**	362.7 2	40.30	106.05* *	520.63	57.85	57.28**	2.39	0.27	2.45*
Mean deviation due to dominance (<i>l</i>)	1	7.07	7.07	78.56**	2.01	2.01	5.29*	45.61	45.61	45.16**	0.52	0.52	4.73*
Dominance deviation due to i genotype (l_i)	9	16.76	1.86	20.67**	31.39	3.49	9.18**	182.65	20.29	20.01**	3.93	0.44	4.00**
Dominance deviation due to $i \ge j \operatorname{cross}(l_{ij})$	35	111.0 1	3.17	35.22**	207.7 4	5.94	15.63**	894.13	25.55	25.30**	16.71	0.46	4.18**
Error	162	15.24	0.09		61.83	0.38		163.99	1.01		18.50	0.11	

*Significant for 5%; ** significant for 1% Mathematical model: $y_j = m + g_i + g_j + l + l_i + l_j + l_{ij}$ (Walters and Morton, 1978)

The significance of dominance effect of the involved genes emphasizes the following aspects:

- dominance of height insertion of the first sympodium (cm), of % early yield from total one (arcsin √%) and of raw cotton early yield (t/ha) is of unidirectional type (first component);
- positive and negative alleles are unequally distributed among parents for all tested traits (*l_i* component);
- the existence of certain specific hybrids with residual dominance reaction is obvious for this set of parental genotypes (*l_{ij}* component).

For the siliquae weight, fibre content, total yield and fibre length (Table 6), both additivity (g_i) and dominance (l, l_i, l_{ij}) gene effects are present, being significant. The gene dominance effects have prevalent role because the l, l_i, l_{ij} components

have larger weight into genetic variance, except for the fibre content in which the gene additivity (g_i) component is higher.

The significance of the three types dominance of effects of involved genes emphasizes the fact that:

- dominance of siliquae weight (g), fibre content (%), total cotton yield (t/ha) is of unidirectional type, while, fibre length is of bidirectional type (*l* component);

- positive and negative alleles which control the tested traits are relatively unequally distributed among parents (l_i component);

- the existence of certain specific hybrids with residual dominance reaction is obvious for this set of parental genotypes (l_{ij} component).

 Table 6. Analysis of variance of half diallel table for s iliquae weight, fibre content, raw cotton total yield and fibre length in those ten cotton parental genotypes and their direct hybrids in F1 generation

 A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average

		Average	weight	of siliquae	Fi	bre con	tent	Raw	cotton to	otal yield	Fibre	length	on seed
Variability cause	FD		(g)			(%)			(t/ha)		(mm)	
		SP	s ²	F	SP	s ²	F	SP	s ²	F	SP	s ²	F
Additive contribution of <i>i</i> genotype (g_i)	9	11.92	1.32	11.12**	315.5 2	35.06	12.67**	5.13	0.57	2.51**	128.1 8	14.2 4	66.28**
Mean deviation due to dominance (l)	1	1.00	1.00	8.41**	35.30	35.30	12.76**	0.77	0.77	3.37**	14.91	14.9 1	69.40**
Dominance deviation due to i genotype (l_i)	9	2.88	0.32	2.69**	94.72	10.52	3.80**	11.36	1.26	5.56**	11.73	1.30	6.06**
Dominance deviation due to $i \ge j$ cross (l_{ij})	35	12.72	0.36	3.05**	193.7 5	5.54	2.00**	29.03	0.83	3.65**	70.39	2.01	9.36**
Error	162	19.29	0.12		448.3 4	2.77		36.80	0.23		34.81	0.21	

** significantly for 1% Mathematical model: $y_{ij}=m+g_i+g_j+l+l_i+l_j+l_{ij}$ (Walters and Morton, 1978)

The variance analysis of the difference between covariance and variance of hybrid rows with a common parent (Wr - Vr) (Table s 7 and 8) demonstrated that, for all tested traits, the difference values are relatively homogenous and not significantly different. This means that, the non-allelic interactions involved into tested trait heredity do not have a high level of manifestation, confirming the fact that the additivity x dominance model chosen for this study is the adequate one.

Table 7. ANOVA Wr-Vr for cotton earliness indicators A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average

Variability cause	FD	Insert firs	ion heig st sympo (nodes	ht of the dium)	Distance node to t	e from cot he first sy (cm)	tyledonal mpodium	Early y	ield from arcsin √%	total one	Raw	cotton ear (t/ha)	ly yield
		SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F
Reps	3	3.32	1.1052		8.29	2.7635		51.32	17.1082		0.07	0.0241	
Rows	9	9.77	1.0852	2.23 NS	9.08	1.0097	2.22 NS	83.96	9.3292	1.96 NS	0.17	0.0184	

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Error	27	13.14	0.4866	12.23	0.4528		128.51	4.7598		0.23	0.0084	2.19 NS
--	-------	----	-------	--------	-------	--------	--	--------	--------	--	------	--------	---------

NS- unsignificant

Table 8. ANOVA WFVr for siliquae weight, fibre content, raw cotton total yield and fibre le	ngth
A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average	

Voriability		Sili	quae we	ight	Fi	bre cont	ent	Raw	cotton t	otal yield		Fibre leng	th
variability	FD		(g)			(%)			(t/ha)		(mm)	
cause		SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F	SS	s ²	F
Reps	3	0.09	0.031		40.40	13.47		0.21	0.07		0.34	0.11	
Rows	9	0.04	0.005	0.62 NS	58.83	6.54	1.68 NS	0.37	0.04	1.39 NS	2.14	0.24	1.96 NS
Error	27	0.21	0.008		105.33	3.90		0.79	0.03		3.28	0.12	

NS- unsignificant

The estimation of genetic variance components and heritability based on covariance and variance of hybrid rows with a common parent led to the following genetic parameters: D, H₁, H₂, F and h^2 (Table 9). For all tested genetic traits, the results emphasize the fact that the values of genetic parameters are significant, proving the fact that these traits were not too much affected by environmental conditions.

Table 9. Values of genetic variance components for cotton earliness factors, siliquae weight, fibre content, raw cotto	n
total yield and fibre length, A.R.D.S. Teleorman, 2000-2001 average	

Nr. crt.	. Genetic parame- . ters	Insertion I first sy	neight of the mpodium	Early yield from total one $(\arcsin \sqrt{96})$	Raw cotton early yield	Average weight of siliquae	Fibre con- tent	Raw cotton total yield	Fibre length on seed	
		nodes	cm	$(\arcsin \sqrt{30})$	(Vila)	(g)	(%)	(Ulla)	(11111)	
1	D	1.77 ± 0.07	10.08±0.14	14.38±0.81	1.02 ± 0.01	0.29±0.01	7.91±0.24	0.04 ± 0.002	3.42±0.06	
2	H_1	1.80 ± 0.14	6.19±0.29	28.23±1.72	0.64±0.03	0.46±0.01	9.76±0.50	0.07±0.004	2.34±0.13	
3	H ₂	1.63±0.12	5.33±0.25	23.51±1.46	0.55±0.02	0.41±0.01	8.23±0.43	0.06±0.004	2.08±0.11	
4	F	0.99±0.16	-0.46±0.02	9.67±1.86	0.03±0.02	0.14±0.01	5.88±0.55	0.02±0.005	1.38±0.14	
5	h ²	0.83±0.08	0.07±0.17	5.26±0.98	0.03±0.01	0.04±0.01	3.32±0.29	0.001±0.003	1.75±0.07	
Proportional values										
6	$(H_1/D)^{1/2}$	1.00	0.79	1.40	1.26	1.27	1.11	1.42	0.83	
7	Vr/Wr	1.01	0.85	1.80	1.55	1.81	1.62	1.78	0.87	
8	$H_{2}/4H_{1}$	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.22	
9	$\frac{(4DH_1)^{1/2} + F}{(4DH_1)^{1/2} - F}$	1.27	0.94	1.63	1.26	1.47	2.01	1.54	1.64	
10	$\frac{1/2F}{\left[D(H_1-H_2)\right]^{1/2}}$	0.90	0.08	0.59	0.31	0.56	0.85	0.42	0.73	
11	h²/H2	0.51	0.01	0.22	0.04	0.10	0.40	0.02	0.84	
12	Heritability: - in narrow sense	0.43	0.77	0.41	0.47	0.31	0.84	0.52	0.61	
	- in large sense	0.93	0.95	0.91	0.85	0.63	0.96	0.94	0.89	

The very significant values of D parameter (which estimates the additivity effects) as well as H_1 , H_2 , F and h^2 ones (which estimate the domi-

nance effects) confirm the fact that both effect types are present in the heredity of the tested traits, the dominance effects having prevalent role. The positive and significant value of the F parameter suggests the fact that the presence of dominant genes is in excess vs. recessive ones for all tested traits except the distance from cotyledonal node to insertion of the first sympodium for which, the recessive gene frequency is in excess vs. dominant genes.

The ratios $(H_1/D)^{1/2}$ and Vr/Wr emphasize a genetic control of type:

- complete dominance for height insertion of the first sympodium (in nodes);

- partial dominance for insertion height of the first sympodium (cm) and fibre length (mm);

- over dominance for percentage early yield from total one (arcsin $\sqrt{\%}$), early yield (t/ha), siliquae weight (g), fibre content (%) and total yield (t/ha).

The value, supra-unitary and different from 1, of ratio $[(4DH_1)^{1/2} + F/(4DH_1)^{1/2} - F]$ emphasizes the asymmetry of dominant and recessive gene distribution among parents for insertion of the first sympodium (cm), percentage early yield from total one $(\arcsin\sqrt{\%})$, early yield (t/ha), siliquae weight (g), fibre content (%), total yield (t/ha) and fibre length, hypothesis confirmed by $H_2/4H_1$ ratio value which estimates the relative distribution of dominant and recessive genes (value < 0.25, corresponding with the genes having equal frequency). Average degree of dominance variation at the level of different loci is given by the value of $\frac{1}{2}$ F/[D(H₁-H₂)]^{1/2}, which shows that the dominance is not variable for the first sympodium insertion (cm), early yield weight, early yield, siliquae weight and total yield but is variable from one bcus to another for the insertion node of the first sympodium, fibre content and length.

For this set of parental genotypes, the h^2/H_2 ratio emphasizes a gene or a group of genes closely associated which demonstrate dominance only for fibre length (0.84) and maybe for insertion node (0.51).

Generally, the heritability coefficients have high values for all tested traits: 0.41-0.84 in narrow sense and 0.85-0.96 in large sense, except for the siliquae weight for which values are 0.31 in narrow sense and 0.63 in large sense. The differences among the 55 cotton genotypes were distinctly significant.

The variability of the tested traits was large enough for the purpose of the study.

Both gene additivity effects (g_i) and dominance ones (l, l_i, l_{ij}) were involved into heredity of the traits under study.

The genetic control of the heredity of the studied agronomically useful traits in the tested germplasm, was mainly:

- complete dominance for insertion height of the first sympodium (number of nodes);
- partial dominance for insertion of the first sympodium (cm) and fibre length;
- overdominance for percentage early yield from total one, early yield, siliquae weight, fibre content and total yield.

The dominant and recessive genes were relatively unequally distributed among parents for all tested traits.

The dominance was not variable from one locus to another for insertion of the first sympodium (cm), percentage early yield from total one, early yield, siliquae weight and total yield but was variable from one locus to another for insertion node of the first sympodium, fibre content and fibre length.

For this set of parental genotypes, a gene or a group of genes which demonstrate dominance only for fibre length was emphasized.

Generally, he tested traits have high heritabilities in narrow sense as well as in large sense.

REFERENCES

- Al-Rawi, K.M., Kohel, R.J., 1969. Diallel analysis of yield and other agronomic characters in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Crop Sci., 9: 779-783.
- Baker, J.L., Laval, A., 1974. Cotton varieties planted. U.S.D.A., Agr. Marketing Service, Washington, D.C.
- Baker, J.L., Verhalen, L.M., 1973. The inheritance of several agronomic fibre properties among selected lines of upland cotton, *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Crop Sci.: 444-450.
- Bridge, R.R., 1969. Comparative performance of absolute varieties of cotton. Crop Sci., 11: 29-32.
- Ceapoiu, N., 1968. Metode statistice aplicate în experientele agricole si biologice. Edit. Agro-Silvica, Bucuresti.

32

- Hayman, B.I., 1954a. The analysis of variance of diallel tables. Biometrics, 10: 235-244.
- Hayman, B.I., 1954b. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. Genetics, 39: 789-809.
- Jinks, J.L., 1954. The analysis of heritable variation in diallel cross of *Nicotiana tabacum rusticana* varieties. Genetics, 39:769-788.
- Marrani, A., 1968. Inheritance of line quality characteristics in intraspecific crosses among varieties of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. and *Gossypium barbadense* L., Crop Sci., 8: 36-38.
- Mather, K., Jinks, J.L., 1974. Biometrical genetics. Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London: 249-271.
- Meredith, W.R., 1984. Cotton. American Society of Agronomy, Inc.: 132-135.
- Miller, P.A., 1959. Estimates of genotypic and environmental variance and covariance in upland cotton and their implications in selection. Agr on. J., 50: 126-131.

- Murray, J.C., Verhalen, L.M., 1970. Genetics of early yield and fibre properties in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Crop Sci., 9: 752-799.
- Ramey, H.H., Miller, P.A., 1966. Gene action in the inheritance of line index in upland cotton. Crop Sci., 3: 32-33.
- Rîstakov, V., Avtonomov, V., 1980. Nasledovanie dlinî volokna pu otdelenioi ghinidizatii. Hlapkovodstvo, 10: 33-34.
- Simongulian, N.G., 1975. Nasleduemost igheneticeskie korreliatii u hlopciatnika. Ghenetika, 28, Taskent.
- Stoilova, A., 1968. Gheneticini isledovania pu hibridizati navidovete Gossypium hirsutum L. x Gossypium barbadenseL. Sofia, Teza doctorat.
- Verhalen, L. M., Murray, J.C., 1969. A diallel analysis of several fibre property traits in upland cotton. Crop Sci., 15: 588-591.
- White T.G., Kohel, R.J., 1964. A diallel analysis of agronomic characteristics in selected lines of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Crop Sci., 4: 254-257.